Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/673,739		McCarthy et al.	
	Examiner Joyce Tune	3	Art Unit 1637	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Joyce Tung	(3) <u>Patrick Vau</u>	nhan		
(2) MaryAnne Armstrong				
Date of Interview Jun 13, 2002				
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☒ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes				
			,	
Claim(s) discussed:				·
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	. g)□ was not read	ched. h)	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the instant invention and distinguished from the prior art.				
Discussed the instant invention and distinguished from the	e prior art.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, .	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the exa copy of the amendme	aminer agreents that wo	ed would render ould render the o	the claims claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the sub	stance of t	he interview (if	oox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply to DATE TO F	the last Office	action has ENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's	signature, if red	quired